

For Consideration as a suggested change to the SCCRC SWP Steering Committee

The SWP Trailer Bill legislative language says “district” vote for SWP fiscal decisions— that is why most regions have the CEOs or a CEO designee vote on behalf of a college and/or District. The District SWP Steering Committee voting member does not have to be the CEO, but the CEO should appoint. In the case of the VCCCD, perhaps the internal Career Education group would choose the District designee. Section 88823 in the upcoming Governor’s budget will likely be amended, but proposed changes still keep the same wording as **written in green** below.

88823.

(a) Commencing July 1, 2017, as a condition of receipt of funds from this program for a fiscal year, each consortium, in consultation with collaborating entities identified in paragraph (2) of subdivision (e) of Section 88821, shall submit a plan to the chancellor’s office that has been updated for that fiscal year.

(b) The plan pursuant to subdivision (a) shall include all of the following requirements:

(1) The names of the community college districts participating in the consortium, including the name of the community college identified as the consortium’s fiscal agent, and the names of entities collaborating pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (e) of Section 88821.

(2) The governance model for the consortium. Decisions governing, or relating to, the distribution of fiscal resources shall be determined exclusively by the community college districts participating in the consortium.

POSSIBLE CHANGE IN SCCRC SWP GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE:

- Steering Committee voting members would only be the college District designees (5 votes).
- There would be no need for term limits.
- In order to gain constituency group representation (our current model), we could keep two members from each group, but they would become non-voting and participate in an advisory role. The SCCRC highly values the input from all those who are involved in a day-to-day role with this work.
- The constituent group members can decide from within their ranks about who would participate in the Steering Committee and length of service.
- it is recommended that all those involved on the Steering Committee serve for at least two years, but service could be fluid.
- It is recommended that all those participating on the Steering Committee (voting and non-voting) attend as many in-person SCCRC meetings as possible, and have mandatory attendance at two in-person SCCRC retreats—January and June.
- The SCCRC SWP “Voting Group” that makes fiscal and project recommendations to the Steering Committee – made up of the CTE deans and one key talent representative – would remain the same. An individual could participate on both groups.
- SWP college and key talent projects would continue to be shared via the larger SCCRC group.